Begging OPEC will get us nowhere. We need strong leadership, Madam Speaker, from the White House; but we will not get it. We also need to wake up and realize that the Sierra Club and some of these other environmental groups have now gone so far to the left that they make even socialists look conservative.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. MILLENDER-MCDONALD) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. MILLENDER-McDONALD addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

## HOW MUCH IS ENOUGH?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Minnesota (Mr. GUT-KNECHT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. GUTKNECHT. Madam Speaker, how much is enough? The buzz in Washington is that the President is spoiling for one last fight with Congress over the budget. In fact, White House aides have practically encouraged suspicion that they would like a government shutdown to embarrass Republicans and boost Democratic prospects in the upcoming elections. Rumors of a government shutdown are exaggerated. Congressional leaders are working in good faith to ensure principled compromise with the President on a budget that serves the national interest.

Under our proposal, over \$600 billion of publicly held debt would be paid down by the end of next year. It would be eliminated by the year 2013. Of course, reduced debt means lower interest rates on credit cards and home mortgages for millions of American families.

The GOP debt reduction plan would also save an average of \$4,064 for every American household in lower interest rates over the next 10 years. Since early last year, Congress has made its spending priorities very clear. As a member of the House Committee on the Budget, I helped craft a budget for next year in which Federal spending would grow at a rate slower than the average family budget. This budget passed the House and Senate. It serves as the blueprint for congressional spending bills this year.

The President, on the other hand, will not say just how many billions of dollars he wants to spend. He submitted one plan in January, which was soundly rejected even by members of his own party. Speaking for congressional Democrats during the debate on the President's proposal earlier this year, the gentleman from Massachusetts (Mr. MOAKLEY), a Democratic, confessed on the House floor, and I quote, "We did not propose the President's budget. We do not want any part

of the President's budget," closed quote.

## □ 1900

Indeed. The House Democrats offered four substitute budget plans this year. Not one of them was the President's budget plan. It never even got a vote.

Since that time, the President's spending plans have been a moving target. He is currently asking for between \$20 billion and \$30 billion more than he asked for in January, though he cannot say how much or exactly what he needs it for. If we cannot move forward on lowering and simplifying taxes, let us at least not go backwards on spending. A balanced budget with the surplus devoted largely to paying down debt would make perfect sense under these circumstances.

Last week, in an effort to reach agreement on total spending, congressional leaders went to the White House to propose reserving 90 percent of next year's surplus for reducing the national debt. This compromise would provide some limited room for additional spending, while paying down billions more dollars of the Federal debt and keeping a lid on Federal spending.

This should have been an attractive idea to the President. He claimed in the last few weeks that fidelity to the national debt caused him to veto the bills eliminating the marriage tax penalty and the death tax which Congress sent to the White House. But, the President seems decidedly cool toward the 90 percent debt reduction plan. Quote: "Whether we can do it," that is, use 90 percent of the surplus to pay down debt "depends on what the various spending commitments are," the President said earlier to the New York Times.

So let us be clear. When presented with a choice of more spending or paying down the national debt, the President chose more spending.

Ultimately, the budget debate comes down to a very simple question: how much is enough? I believe that \$1.68 trillion should be more than enough to fund the legitimate needs of the Federal Government. Unfortunately, it is still not clear how much more the President thinks is necessary. Congress is committed to working in good faith with the President to reach a reasonable budget compromise. The question is, is he?

## TRIBUTE TO SENATOR LAUTENBERG

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mrs. WILSON). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from New Jersey (Mr. HOLT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. HOLT. Madam Speaker, it is an honor to rise today to join the New Jersey congressional delegation and my colleagues in paying tribute to Senator Frank Lautenberg. This legislation which we passed earlier in the day to name the post office and courthouse at Federal Square in Newark after the

Senator is just one small way to honor a man who has done so much for New Jersey and the Nation. I will be delighted to support it and I am pleased to see the House take it up.

Frank Lautenberg, born into an immigrant family residing in Paterson, New Jersey, Frank and his family dealt with numerous obstacles and struggles that were common experiences for many Americans during the 1920s. After moving from city to city, the Lautenbergs and Lautenberg's father found work at the renowned silk mills in Paterson. His father was soon able to eke out a living to support his family. Sadly, just as Frank was on the brink of manhood, he lost his father to cancer.

Upon his graduation from Nutley High School, FRANK LAUTENBERG enlisted and served in the Army's Signal Corps in Europe during World War II. After serving his country, he attended the prestigious Columbia University on the GI Bill where he studied economics.

With his eyes set on the innovations of the future, LAUTENBERG, accompanied by two childhood friends, founded Automatic Data Processing, a payroll services company. ADP quickly rose up the ladder of business and emerged as one of the world's largest computing service companies with over 33,000 people on its payroll.

Since his election to the Senate in 1982, FRANK LAUTENBERG has given back to the State of New Jersey and our Nation throughout his senatorial career. By writing laws that established age 21 as the national drinking age, by banning smoking on airplanes and forbidding domestic violence abusers from owning guns, LAUTENBERG insured the health and security of our families.

As a strong environmental leader, FRANK LAUTENBERG sought to protect all aspects of our beautiful environment, mainly through the Superfund program to clean up toxic waste sites, the clean air and safe drinking water acts, and the Pets on Planes acts. With the best interests of New Jersey and New Jersey's beaches in mind, FRANK LAUTENBERG wrote legislation that would ban ocean dumping of sewage, rid our beaches of garbage, control medical waste, and stop oil drilling off our famed Jersey shore.

Standing as an example of an American success story, FRANK LAUTENBERG has dedicated 18 years of his career to public service here in the United States Capitol and in New Jersey. And, despite his retirement, Senator LAUTENBERG will always be remembered for his many contributions made to better the lives of millions of Americans. I am sure he will continue to dedicate himself to improving lives, to healing the world.

On a more personal note, no one has done more to help me as a new member of the New Jersey congressional delegation than Senator Frank Lautenberg. His advice, guidance and assistance are things that I will always remember with gratitude.